

SMOOTH QUARTIC K3 SURFACES AND CREMONA TRANSFORMATIONS, II

KEIJI OGUISO

ABSTRACT. This is a continuation of [Og12], concerning automorphisms of smooth quartic K3 surfaces and birational automorphisms of ambient projective three spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note we work over $\mathbf{C}$. This note is a continuation of our previous paper [Og12]. Our main results are Theorems (1.7), (1.8) below. In [Og12], being based on a result of Takahashi ([Ta98], see also Theorem (1.9)), we gave the first *negative* answer to the following long standing question of Gizatullin ([Do11]):

Question 1.1. Let $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be a smooth quartic K3 surface and $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$ as abstract variety of X . Is g derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, the group of birational automorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^3$, in the sense that there is $\tilde{g} \in \text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ such that $\tilde{g}_*S = S$ and $\tilde{g}|_S = g$?

The counter example in [Og12] is of Picard number 2 and g is of infinite order. Construction there is lattice theoretic and in this sense implicit. Here and hereafter, a *K3 surface* is a smooth projective simply connected surface S with nowhere vanishing global holomorphic 2-form σ_S . Recall that $\text{Aut}(S)$ can be an infinite group and can admit infinitely many different embeddings into $\mathbf{P}^3$, up to $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, or equivalently, infinitely many different linearly equivalent classes of very ample divisors D with $(D^2)_S = 4$. The Fermat quartic surface is such an example. Keeping these in mind, one can naturally ask more interesting questions now than the original question. For instance:

Question 1.2. Can one describe at least one counter example (S, g) of Question (1.1) explicitly in terms of homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^3$?

Question 1.3. Is there a smooth quartic K3 surface $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ such that $\text{Aut}(S)$ is enough complicated but every element of $\text{Aut}(S)$ is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$?

Question 1.4. Is every automorphism of the Fermat quartic surface $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$?

Question 1.5. Is every automorphism of finite order of any smooth quartic surface $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$?

Question 1.6. Are there a smooth K3 surface S and $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$ such that g is not derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ in any embedding $\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$?

supported by JSPS Gran-in-Aid (B) No 22340009, JSPS Grant-in-Aid (S), No 22224001, and by KIAS Scholar Program.

The aim of this note is to give affirmative answers to Questions (1.3), (1.6) in stronger forms as well as an example supporting Question (1.5). Questions (1.2), (1.4) are completely open and Question (1.5) is also mostly open (see however [Pr12]).

Our main results are the following:

Theorem 1.7. *There is a smooth quartic K3 surface $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ of Picard number 3 such that*

- (1) $\text{Aut}(S) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2 * \mathbf{Z}_2 * \mathbf{Z}_2$, the free product of three cyclic groups of order 2.
- (2) Every element of $\text{Aut}(S)$ is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ but no element other than id_S is derived from $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3) = \text{PGL}(4, \mathbf{C})$.
- (3) S admits infinitely many different embeddings $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$, up to $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, and $\text{Aut}(S)$ is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ in any embedding $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$.

Theorem 1.8. *There is a smooth K3 surface S of Picard number 2 such that*

- (1) $\text{Aut}(S) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$.
- (2) S admits infinitely many different embeddings $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ up to $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$.
- (3) No element $\text{Aut}(S)$ other than id_S is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ in any embeddings of S into $\mathbf{P}^3$.

We construct a K3 surface S in Theorem (1.8) by deforming the Fermat quartic K3 surface suitably. This will be done in Section 3 after recalling some preliminary results in Section 2. Our proof is involved but fairly concrete. Note that S admits infinitely many involutions but no automorphism of finite order ≥ 3 (Theorem (1.7)(1)). Theorem (1.7)(3), in particular, says that all finite order automorphisms of S are derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ but none from $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ except id_S (cf. Question (1.5)).

As one of relevant important results, it is worth mentioning here that the Kummer surface $\text{Km}(\text{Jac}(C))$ of the Jacobian of a generic curve C of genus 2 is birational to a quartic surface Q with 16 nodes and $\text{Aut}(\text{Km}(\text{Jac}(C)))$ is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ via Q ([Ke97], [Ko98]).

Unlike Theorem(1.7)(3), Theorem (1.8) gives an affirmative answer to Question (1.6). This is originally asked by Doctor Sergey Galkin to me at Kinoshita conference (2011, October). Theorem (1.8) is proved in Section 4 again being based on the following special case of a more general result of Takahashi ([Ta98, Theorem 2.3, Remark 2.4]):

Theorem 1.9. *Let H be the hyperplane class of $\mathbf{P}^3$ and let $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be a smooth quartic surface such that any effective curve C on S with $\deg C := (C.H)_{\mathbf{P}^3} < 16$ is of the form $C = T|_S$ for some hypersurface T of $\mathbf{P}^3$. Then, for any $g \in \text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3) \setminus \text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, $K_{\mathbf{P}^3} + g_*S$ is ample. In particular, $g_*S \neq S$.*

Notation. Throughout this note $L_K = L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K$ for $\mathbf{Z}$ -module L and $\mathbf{Z}$ -algebra K . For a K3 surface S , $\text{NS}(S)$ is the Néron-Severi lattice. This is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Pic}(S)$ and is a sublattice of $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ with natural intersection form $(*, *)_S$. The orthogonal complement $T(S) := \text{NS}(S)_{H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})}^\perp$ of $\text{NS}(S)$ in $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ is the transcendental lattice. $T(S)$ is the minimal primitive sublattice of $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ such that $H^0(S, \Omega_S^2) = \mathbf{C}\sigma_S \subset T_{\mathbf{C}}$. The dual lattice $\text{NS}(S)^*$ of $\text{NS}(S)$ is $\{x \in \text{NS}(S)_{\mathbf{Q}} \mid (x, \text{NS}(S))_S \subset \mathbf{Z}\}$. The dual lattice $T(S)^*$ is defined similarly from $T(S)$. We have $\text{NS}(S) \subset \text{NS}(S)^*$, $T(S) \subset T(S)^*$ and there is a natural isomorphism $\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S) \simeq T(S)^*/T(S)$ compatible with the natural action of $\text{Aut}(S)$ ([Ni79, Proposition 1.6.1]). Similarly $L^*/L \simeq (L^\perp)^*/L^\perp$ for non-degenerate primitive sublattice L . This isomorphism is compatible with the natural action of $\text{Aut}(S, L)$,

the subgroup consisting of elements $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$ such that $g^*(L) = L$. The positive cone $P(S)$ is the connected component of $\{x \in \text{NS}(S) \mid (x^2)_S > 0\}$ containing ample classes. The effective nef cone $\overline{\text{Amp}}^e(S)$ is the convex cone in $\text{NS}(S)_{\mathbf{R}}$ generated by the effective nef classes. The nef cone $\overline{\text{Amp}}(S)$ is the closure of $\overline{\text{Amp}}^e(S)$ in the topological vector space $\text{NS}(S)_{\mathbf{R}}$. Our reference on basic facts on K3 surfaces and their projective models are [BHPV04, Chapter VIII] and [SD74].

Acknowledgement. I would like to express my thanks to Doctors Sergey Galkin, Damiano Testa, Professors Igor Dolgachev, Jun-Muk Hwang, Yuri Prokhorov and De-Qi Zhang for valuable discussions relevant to this work. This note is grown up from my talk at the conference “Essential dimension and Cremona groups” held at Chern Institute, June 2012. I would like to express my thanks to Professor Ivan Cheltsov for invitation and hospitality.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

The following two theorems (Theorems (2.1), (2.2)) explain well why Gizatullin’s question is interesting only for quartic K3 surfaces among smooth hypersurfaces. Theorem (2.1) is due to Matsumura and Monsky ([MM63]) when $n \geq 2$ and Chang ([Ch78]) when $n = 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let n and d be positive integers and X be a smooth hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$. If $(n, d) \neq (2, 4), (1, 3)$, then $\text{Aut}(X)$ is derived from $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^{n+1})$.*

When $(n, d) = (1, 3)$, $X = E$ is a smooth cubic curve in $\mathbf{P}^2$. The following theorem should be classical and well known:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $E \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ be a smooth cubic curve. Then $\text{Aut}(E)$ is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^2)$.*

Since an argument below will be used in our proof of Theorem (1.7), we sketch the proof. The point is that the same proof works with no modification for an elliptic curve E/K defined over any field K of characteristic 0 with $E(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Choose $O \in E$ and regard O as the unit element of the elliptic curve E . Then $\text{Aut}(E)$ is the semi-direct product of E , the group of translations, and $\text{Aut}(E, O)$ fixing O . Here $\text{Aut}(E, O)$ is a finite cyclic group of order $d \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. By linear change of the coordinate of $\mathbf{P}^2$, we can rewrite the equation of E in the Weierstrass form:

$$y^2 = x^3 + px + q.$$

If $\text{Aut}(E, O)$ is of order 6 (resp. 4), we can make $p = 0$ (resp. $q = 0$). The generator of $\text{Aut}(E, O)$ is $(x, y) \mapsto (x, -y)$ when $d = 2$, $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, \sqrt{-1}y)$ when $d = 4$ and $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_3 x, -y)$ when $d = 6$. Here ζ_3 is the primitive third root of unity. So $\text{Aut}(E, O)$ is derived from $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^2)$. Let us consider the translation of E by $(a, b) \in E$. The classical addition formula says that $(s', t') = (s, t) + (a, b)$ on E if and only if

$$s' = \left(\frac{t-b}{s-a}\right)^2 - s - a, \quad t' = \frac{t-b}{s-a}(s'-a) + b.$$

Consider the rational map $\varphi_{(a,b)} : \mathbf{P}^2 \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ defined by the same equations above. Then given $(s', t') \in \mathbf{P}^2$, the term $(t-b)/(s-a)$ is uniquely determined from (s', t') as a rational function of (s', t') , by the second formula. Then s is uniquely determined by the first

formula and t is uniquely recovered from $(t - b)/(s - a)$, as rational functions of (s', t') . Thus $\varphi_{(a,b)} \in \text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^2)$ and $\varphi_{(a,b)}|E$ is the original addition by $(a, b) \in E$. $\square$

One can also check that there are only finitely many $g \in \text{Aut}(E)$ of the form $g = \tilde{g}|E$ with $\tilde{g} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^2)$. So, it is really necessary to enlarge $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^2)$ to $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^2)$ in Theorem (2.2).

We close this section by recalling the following well known result which will be used in the remaining sections:

Proposition 2.3. *Let S be a K3 surface. Then:*

- (1) *Any embedding $\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ is of the form $\Phi = \Phi_{|H|}$. Here $\Phi_{|H|}$ is the morphism associated with the complete linear system of a very ample divisor H such that $(H^2)_S = 4$.*
- (2) *Under (1), the group $\{g \in \text{Aut}(S) \mid g^*H = H\}$ is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S)$ consisting of elements being derived from $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ under $\Phi_{|H|}$ and this is a finite group.*
- (3) *Let $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$ and H be a very ample line bundle such that $(H^2)_S = 4$. Then $g^*H' = H$ if and only if there is an isomorphism $\tilde{g} : \mathbf{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ between the target spaces such that $\tilde{g} \circ \Phi_{|H|} = \Phi_{|H'|} \circ g$.*

Proof. If $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$, then S is of degree 4 and $H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1)) \simeq H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(1))$ under the restriction map by $H^1(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(n)) = 0$. We also recall that S has no global holomorphic vector field other than 0. All the results follow from these three facts. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM (1.7).

Let

$$S_0 := (x_0^4 - x_1^4 + x_2^4 - x_3^4 = 0) \subset \mathbf{P}^3.$$

S_0 is isomorphic to the Fermat quartic K3 surface and contains skew lines

$$L := (x_0 = x_1, x_2 = x_3), \quad M := (x_0 = -x_1, x_2 = -x_3), \quad L \cap M = \emptyset.$$

As observed by the intersection matrix below, the sublattice $\mathbf{Z}\langle [H], [L], [M] \rangle$, where and hereafter H is the hyperplane class, is primitive in $H^2(S_0, \mathbf{Z})$. Let S be a small *generic* deformation of S_0 inside $\mathbf{P}^3$ such that $L, M \subset S$. Then $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ is a smooth quartic K3 surface containing L and M . In Hodge theoretic terms, S is a generic small deformation of S_0 keeping the classes $[H], [L], [M]$ being $(1, 1)$ -classes. Thus, such S form a dense subset of a 17 dimensional family, and by the primitivity mentioned above, $\text{NS}(S) = \mathbf{Z}H \oplus \mathbf{Z}L \oplus \mathbf{Z}M$. The intersection matrix is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (H^2)_S & (H.L)_S & (H.M)_S \\ (L.H)_S & (L^2)_S & (L.M)_S \\ (M.H)_S & (M.L)_S & (M^2)_S \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are going to prove that this S satisfies all the requirements of Theorem (1.7). In what follows, we set:

$$\begin{aligned} f &:= H - L, \quad e := H - L + M, \quad v := -6H + 7L - 3M, \\ f' &:= H - M, \quad e' := H - M + L, \quad v' := -6H - 3L + 7M, \end{aligned}$$

in $\text{NS}(S)$.

Lemma 3.1. *S satisfies:*

- (1) $\text{NS}(S) = \mathbf{Z}f \oplus \mathbf{Z}f \oplus \mathbf{Z}v$.
- (2) $(e^2)_S = (f^2)_S = 0$, $(e, f)_S = 1$, $(v, f)_S = (v, e)_S = 0$ and $(v^2)_S = -20$.
- (3) $\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S) = \overline{\langle v/20 \rangle} \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{20}$, where $\bar{x} = x \bmod \text{NS}(S)$ for $x \in \text{NS}(S)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

The same are true for f' , e' , v' .

Proof. (2) follows from explicit calculation based on the intersection matrix of H, L, M . We have $\mathbf{Z}\langle e, f, v \rangle \subset \mathbf{Z}\langle H, L, M \rangle$ by definition. Again by explicit calculation, we see that the determinant of the intersection matrix of H, L, M and f, e, v are both -20 . This implies (1). Since the determinant of $\text{NS}(S)$ is -20 , we have $|\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S)| = 20$ by elementary divisor theory. By (2), $\overline{v/20} \in \text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S)$ and it is of order 20. This implies (3). The proof for f' , e' , v' is identical. $\square$

Let $*$: $\text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow \text{O}(\text{NS}(S))$ be the natural contravariant group homomorphism.

Lemma 3.2. *S satisfies:*

- (1) Let $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$. Then $g^*\sigma_S = \pm\sigma_S$. Moreover $g^*\sigma_S = \sigma_S$ (resp. $g^*\sigma_S = -\sigma_S$) if and only if $g^*|\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S) = \text{id}$ (resp. $g^*|\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S) = -\text{id}$).
- (2) The map $*$ is injective.

Proof. Since S is projective and $\text{rank } T(S) = 19$ is odd, it follows that $g^*\sigma_S = \pm\sigma_S$ by Nikulin ([Ni80]). Thus $g^*|T(S) = \pm\text{id}$ ([Ni80]). Since there are only two cases above and $T(S)^*/T(S) = \mathbf{Z}_{20}$, it follows that $g^*\sigma_S = \pm\sigma_S$ is equivalent to $g^*|T(S)^*/T(S) = \pm\text{id}$ respectively. This implies (1) via the natural isomorphism explained in Notation. If $g^* = \text{id}$ on $\text{NS}(S)$, then $g^*|\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S) = \text{id}$. Thus $g^*|T(S) = \text{id}$ by (1). Hence $g^*|H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) = \text{id}$. The result (2) now follows from the global Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces. $\square$

Planes P such that $L \subset P \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ form a linear pencil $\{P_t | t \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$. Put $h_t := P_t|S \in |H|$. Then $P_t \cap S = L \cup E_t$ where $E_t \subset P_t \simeq \mathbf{P}^2$ is a plane cubic curve such that $(E_t^2)_S = 0$ and $(E_t.M)_S = 1$. Hence $\{E_t | t \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$ defines an elliptic fibration on S with section M :

$$\Phi_1 := \Phi_{|H-L|} : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1.$$

We regard M as the zero section. We denote by $\text{Aut}(\Phi_1)$ the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S)$ which preserves the fibration Φ_1 , i.e., the set of $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$ for which there is $\bar{g} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^1)$ such that $\Phi_1 \circ g = \bar{g} \circ \Phi_1$. Let ι_1 be the inversion of Φ_1 and $\text{MW}(\Phi_1)$ be the Mordell-Weil group of Φ_1 , i.e., the group of translations by sections of Φ_1 . Then $\text{MW}(\Phi_1) \subset \text{Aut}(\Phi_1)$ and $\iota_1 \in \text{Aut}(\Phi_1)$.

Similarly, we have an elliptic fibration

$$\Phi_2 := \Phi_{|H-M|} : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1,$$

with section L . Regarding L as the zero section of Φ_2 , we define $\text{Aut}(\Phi_2)$, $\text{MW}(\Phi_2)$ and the inversion ι_2 of Φ_2 similarly.

Proposition 3.3. *S satisfies:*

- (1) Φ_1 has no reducible fiber.
- (2) The set of global sections of Φ_1 (in $\text{NS}(S)$) is

$$\{10n^2f + e + nv \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

(3) With respect to the basis $\langle f, e, v \rangle$ of $\text{NS}(S)$,

$$\varphi_n^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 10n^2 & 20n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \iota_1^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\varphi_n^{-1} \in \text{MW}(\Phi_1)$ is the element defined by the translation by $C_n := 10n^2f + e + nv$. In particular, $\text{MW}(\Phi_1) = \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}$.

The same are true for Φ_2 if we replace the basis $\langle f, e, v \rangle$ of $\text{NS}(S)$ to the basis $\langle f', e', v' \rangle$ of $\text{NS}(S)$.

Proof. f is the class of fiber and M is the zero section of Φ_1 . Observe that there is no $x \in \text{NS}(S)$ such that

$$(M.x)_S = (f.x)_S = 0, \quad (x^2)_S = -2.$$

In fact, writing $x = af + be + cv$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}$, and substituting this and $e = M + f$ into the first two equations, we obtain that $a = b = 0$. Hence $x = cv$. Then the last condition implies $c = 1/\sqrt{10} \notin \mathbf{Z}$. Thus, there are no $\mathbf{P}^1$ in fibers of Φ_1 and the result (1) follows.

Let C be a section of Φ_1 . Then

$$C = xf + ye + nv \quad \text{---} \quad (*)$$

in $\text{NS}(S)$ for some $x, y, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since f is the class of fiber of Φ_1 , it follows that $(C.f)_S = 1$. Hence $y = 1$. Substituting into $(*)$, we obtain $C = xf + e + nv$. Since $C \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$, it follows that $(C^2)_S = -2$, i.e., $2x - 2 - 20n^2 = -2$. Hence $x = 10n^2$. Therefore $C = 10n^2f + e + nv$ for some $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Conversely, Let $c = 10n^2f + e + nv \in \text{NS}(S)$ with $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have $(c^2)_S = -2$. Hence either c or $-c$ is represented by an effective curve. Since $(c.f)_S = 1$, it is c . Set $[C_0 + A] = c$, where C_0 and A are effective curves (possibly 0) such that C_0 is irreducible and $(C_0.f)_S \neq 0$. Since $(c.f)_S = 1$ and f is nef, it follows that $(C_0.f)_S = 1$ and $(A.f)_S = 0$. Since C_0 is irreducible, it follows that C_0 is a section of Φ_1 . Moreover, A is in fibers by $(A.f)_S = 0$. Since Φ_1 has no reducible fiber, it follows that $A = mf$ in $\text{NS}(S)$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. We calculate that

$$-2 = (c^2)_S = (C_0^2)_S + 2m(C_0.f)_S = -2 + 2m.$$

Hence $m = 0$. Thus $A = 0$ as divisors. Hence $c = [C_0]$. This proves (2).

Let us show (3). We have $\iota_1^*f = f$ and $\iota_1^*e = e$. Hence $\iota_1^*v = -v$ by $\iota_1 \neq \text{id}_S$ and Lemma (3.2). We have

$$\varphi_n^*(f) = f, \quad \varphi_n^*(e) = C_n = 10n^2f + e + nv.$$

Put $\varphi_n^*(v) = xf + ye + zv$ where $x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}$. By substituting this into

$$(\varphi_n^*(f) \cdot \varphi_n^*(v))_S = (f.v)_S = 0, \quad (\varphi_n^*(e) \cdot \varphi_n^*(v))_S = (e.v)_S = 0, \quad (\varphi_n^*(v)^2)_S = (v^2)_S = -20,$$

we obtain $\varphi_n^*(v) = \pm(20nf + v)$. Since $\varphi_n^*\sigma_S = \sigma_S$, it follows that $\varphi_n^*|_{\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S)} = \text{id}$ by Lemma (3.2)(1). Thus $\varphi_n^*(v) = 20nf + v$. By the explicit form of the matrix and using induction on $\pm n$, one can see that $\varphi_n = (\varphi_1)^n$. This proves (3).

The proof for Φ_2 is identical. □

Proposition 3.4. *For each $i = 1, 2$, we have:*

$$\text{Aut}(\Phi_i) = \text{MW}(\Phi_i) \cdot \langle \iota_i \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

Here and hereafter for groups A and B , the group $A \cdot B$ is the semi-direct product in which A is normal.

Proof. We only prove for $i = 1$. Proof for $i = 2$ is identical. We already observed that $\langle \text{MW}(\Phi_1), \iota_1 \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(\Phi_1)$. Let $g \in \text{Aut}(\Phi_1)$. We want to show that $g \in \langle \text{MW}(\Phi_1), \iota_1 \rangle$. We have $g^*(H - L) = H - L$. By composing $\text{MW}(\Phi_1)$, we may and will assume that $g^*M = M$. Hence

$$g^*e = e, \quad g^*f = f.$$

Thus $g^*v = \pm v$. As we observed, $\iota_1^*e = e$, $\iota_1^*f = f$ and $\iota_1^*v = -v$. Thus by composing ι_1 if necessary, we may and will assume that $g^*v = v$. Then $g = \text{id}_S$ by Lemma (3.2)(2). Thus $\text{Aut}(\Phi_1) = \langle \text{MW}(\Phi_1), \iota_1 \rangle$. If $g \in \text{MW}(\Phi_1)$, then $\iota_1 \circ g \circ \iota_1^{-1} \in \text{MW}(\Phi_1)$. In fact, if g is the translation defined by a section C , then $\iota_1 \circ g \circ \iota_1^{-1}$ is the translation defined by $\iota_1(C)$. Thus $g \in \text{MW}(\Phi_1) \cdot \langle \iota_1 \rangle$. Since ι_1 is the inversion, we have $\langle \iota_1 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2$ and $\text{MW}(\Phi_1) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ by Proposition (3.3)(2). This completes the proof. $\square$

From now on, we set $\iota_3 := \iota_1 \circ \varphi_1$.

Corollary 3.5. *With respect to the basis $\langle f, e, v \rangle$ of $\text{NS}(S)$,*

$$\iota_3^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 10 & -20 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, ι_3 is also an involution.

Proof. Since $\iota_3^* = (\iota_1 \circ \varphi_1)^* = \varphi_1^* \circ \iota_1^*$, the result follows from Proposition (3.3)(3). The fact that ι_3 is an involution also follows from the semi-direct product structure. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *ι_1^* , ι_2^* and ι_3^* are (orthogonal) reflections with respect to the hyperplanes orthogonal to the -20 -elements, $-6H + 7L - 3M$, $-6H - 3L + 7M$ and $4H - 3L - 3M$ respectively.*

Proof. The results are clear for ι_1, ι_2 by their explicit matrix forms. Observe that ι_3^* is an orthogonal involution with determinant -1 , being not $-\text{id}$. Hence ι_3^* is the reflection with respect to the hyperplane orthogonal to a primitive eigenvector, which is unique up to $\pm$, with eigenvalue -1 of ι_3^* . Indeed, $4H - 3L - 3M$ is such a vector. $\square$

The goal of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 3.7. *Let $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be as above. Then:*

- (1) $\text{Aut}(S) = \langle \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2 * \mathbf{Z}_2 * \mathbf{Z}_2$.
- (2) No element other than id_S is derived from $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$.
- (3) Every element of $\text{Aut}(S)$ is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$.
- (4) S admits infinitely many different embeddings $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$, up to $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, and $\text{Aut}(S)$ is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ in any embedding $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$.

We prove Theorem (3.7) by deviding into several lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$. If $g^*H = H$, then $g = \text{id}_S$. In particular, no element of $\text{Aut}(S)$ other than id_S is derived from $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$.*

Proof. Consider the following system of equations of $x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}$:

$$((xH + yL + zM)^2)_S = -2, \quad ((xH + yL + zM) \cdot H)_S = 1.$$

The solutions are $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 1)$ and $(0, 1, 0)$. Thus if $g^*H = H$, then either $g^*L = L$ and $g^*M = M$ or $g^*L = M$ and $g^*M = L$. In the first case, $g = id_S$ by Lemma (3.2)(2). In the second case, $g^*(-6H + 7L - 3M) = -6H + 7L - 3M$, where $v = -6H + 7L - 3M$. Thus $g^*(v/20) \neq \pm v/20$ in $\text{NS}(S)$, a contradiction to Lemma (3.2)(1). This proves the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *The following cone D*

$$D := \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(H + \frac{L}{2} + \frac{M}{2}) + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(H - L + \frac{M}{2}) + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(H + \frac{L}{2} - M) + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(H - L) + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(H - M),$$

that is, the cone over the pentagon of the five vertices as indicated, is a fundamenal domain for the action of $\langle \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \rangle$ on the effective nef cone $\overline{\text{Amp}}^e(S)$ of S .

Readers are strongly encouraged to draw a picture, cut out by $(H \cdot *)_S = 1$, by oneselves.

Proof. The first three vertices are in the interior of the positive cone. The last two vertices are on the boundary of the positive cone, corresponding to Φ_1 and Φ_2 . The first ray $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(H + \frac{L}{2} + \frac{M}{2})$ gives the contraction of both L and M . The face spanned by $H + \frac{L}{2} + \frac{M}{2}$ and $H - L + \frac{M}{2}$ corresponds to the contraction of M , and the face spanned by $H + \frac{L}{2} + \frac{M}{2}$ and $H + \frac{L}{2} - M$ corresponds to the contraction of L . Thus they are (part of the) faces of $\overline{\text{Amp}}^e(S)$ for which H is on the below (resp. left) side. Consider the remaining three faces. They are in the same side as H with respect to the first two faces. The face spanned by $H - L + \frac{M}{2}$ and $H - L$ is orthogonal to $-6H + 7L - 3M$, the face spanned by $H + \frac{L}{2} - M$ and $H - M$ is orthogonal to $-6H - 3L + 7M$ and the last face spanned by $H - L$ and $H - M$ is orthogonal to $4H - 3L - 3M$. Thus these three faces are (part of) the invariant hyperplanes of the orthogonal reflections ι_1, ι_2 and ι_3 respectively. This implies the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *The set $Q := \{x \in \text{NS}(S) \mid x \in D, (x^2)_S = 4\}$, where D is the cone in Lemma (3.9), is*

$$\{H, 2H - L - M, 3H - 3L + M, 3H - 3M + L\}.$$

Moreover, among these four elements, only H is very ample.

Proof. Note that $3H - 3L + M = 3f + M$. Thus $|3H - 3L + M| = |3f| + M$. This is because $\dim |3H - 3L + M| = \dim |3f| = 3$ by the Reiman-Roch formula. Similarly $|3H - 3M + L| = |3(H - M)| + L$. $2H - L - M = (H - L) + (H - M)$ gives a double cover of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ given by $\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2$. This proves the last statement. Let $A \in Q$. Since $A \in \text{NS}(S)$, we can write $A = xH + yL + zM$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $A \in D$, it follows that $x > 0$. Set

$$s := \frac{y}{x}, \quad t := \frac{z}{x}.$$

By symmetry of numerical conditions, we may and will assume that $s \leq t$. Since $A \in D$ with $s \leq t$, it follows that

$$-1 \leq s \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad -1 \leq s + t.$$

Such (s, t) form the quadrangle with four vertices $(1/2, 1/2)$, $(-1, 1/2)$, $(-1, 0)$, $(-1/2, -1/2)$ in the st -plane.

Here redarers are strongly recommended to draw a picture by oneselves.

On the other hand, by $(A^2)_S = 4$, we have

$$4x^2 - 2y^2 - 2z^2 + 2xy + 2xz = 4 .$$

Substituting $y = sx$ and $z = tx$, dividing by $x^2 \neq 0$ and completing squares, we obtain

$$(s - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (t - \frac{1}{2})^2 = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{2}{x^2} .$$

This is the circle with center $(1/2, 1/2)$ in the st -plane.

Assume that $x = 1$. Then $s = y$ and $t = z$ are integers such that $(y - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (z - \frac{1}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Solutions are only $(y, z) = (0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$. Assume that $x = 2$. Then $2s = y$ and $2t = z$ are integers and satisfy $(y - 1)^2 + (z - 1)^2 = 8$. Partition of 8 into two squares is only $8 = 4 + 4$. Hence, the solutions with $s \leq t$ are only $(y, z) = (3, 3)$, $(-1, 3)$ and $(-1, -1)$. But the first two solutions do not satisfies $t = z/2 \leq 1$. Hence $(y, z) = (-1, -1)$. Assume that $x = 3$. Then $3s = y$ and $3t = z$ are integers and satisfy $(2y - 3)^2 + (2z - 3)^2 = 82$. Here we note that $2y - 3$ and $2z - 3$ are odd integers. Partition of 82 into odd squares is only $82 = 1^2 + 9^2$ up to the order. By taking into account that $-1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1/2$, i.e., $-3 \leq y \leq z \leq 3/2$, one can see that the solutions are only $(y, z) = (-3, 1)$. These four solutions give the four elements in the statement.

Assume that $x \geq 4$. One can compute the intersection points of the circle above with the boundary $s + t = -1$ of the quadrangle above. In fact, substituting $t = -1 - s$ into the equation of the circle above and simplifying it, we obtain

$$s^2 + s = -\frac{1}{x^2} .$$

Solving this on s in the range $-1 \leq s \leq t \leq 1/2$ by using the root formula of quadratic equation, we find that the value of the s -coordinate at the intersection point is:

$$-\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{x^2}} .$$

From this calculation with $-1 \leq s$, we obtain

$$-1 \leq s \leq -\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{x^2}} .$$

If $s = -1$, then by substituting this into the equation of the circle above and solving it on t by using the root formula of quadratic equation, we obtain

$$t = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 8}}{2x} .$$

Since t is a rational number and x is an integer, it follows that $x^2 - 8$ is a square of some integer, i.e., $x^2 - 8 = a^2$ for some non-negative integer a . This equation is equivalent to $(x - a)(x + a) = 8$. Here $x + a \geq 4$. Hence $x + a = 4$ or 8 and therefore $x - a = 2$ or 1 respectively. The solutions are $(x, a) = (3, 1)$ and $(9/2, 1/2)$. Since $x \geq 4$, $x = 9/2$. But

this is not an integer, a contradiction. Hence $s \neq -1$, i.e., $s > -1$. Since s is a rational number whose demoninator divides x , it follows from the inequality above for s that

$$-\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{x^2}} - (-1) \geq \frac{1}{x},$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x} \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{x^2}}.$$

In particular, the left hand side is also non-negative. Thus

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{x}\right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{x^2}.$$

Expanding the right hand side and transform the right hand side to the left, we obtain:

$$\frac{2}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x} \geq 0.$$

Multiplying both sides by $x^2 > 0$, we obtain $2 - x \geq 0$. Hence $x \leq 2$. However, this contradicts $x \geq 4$. Hence $x \leq 3$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.11. $\text{Aut}(S) = \langle \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \rangle$.

Proof. We have $\langle \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(S)$. Let $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$. Let $H' := g^*H$. Then $H' \in \overline{\text{Amp}}^e(S)$. Hence there is $\varphi \in \langle \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \rangle$ such that $H'' := \varphi^*H' \in D$ by Lemma (3.9). Since H is in $\text{NS}(S)$, very ample and $(H^2)_S = 4$, so is H'' . Hence $H'' = H$ by Lemma (3.10). That is, $(g \circ \varphi)^*H = H$. Thus $g \circ \varphi = \text{id}_S$ by Lemma (3.8). Hence $g \in \langle \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \rangle$. $\square$

Lemma 3.12. $\langle \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \rangle = \langle \iota_1 \rangle * \langle \iota_2 \rangle * \langle \iota_3 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}_2 * \mathbf{Z}_2 * \mathbf{Z}_2$.

Proof. The positive cone $P(S)$ is divided into the four open domains by the three invariant hyperplanes L_1, L_2, L_3 corresponding the reflections $\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3$ respectively as in the proof of Lemma (3.9).

Here readers are again strongly recommended to draw a picture by oneself.

Let S_1 be the one of the two domains of $P(S)$ divided by L_1 , being in the *opposite* side of H . Similarly we define S_2 and S_3 . Then the intersection of any two of S_1, S_2, S_3 is empty. Moreover $\iota_i^*(S_j) \subset S_i$ whenever $j \neq i$ and $\iota_i^*(H) \in S_i$. Hence, the result follows. Indeed,

$$\iota_{k_1}^* \iota_{k_2}^* \cdots \iota_{k_m}^* \neq \text{id}$$

for any $k_1 \neq k_2 \neq \cdots \neq k_m$ such that $k_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. This is because the image of H by the left hand side is in S_{k_1} but $\text{id}(H) = H$ by the right hand side is not. This proves the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.13. *Every element of $\text{Aut}(S)$ is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$.*

Proof. Φ_1 is the restriction of the linear projection

$$\Phi_{|P-L|} : \mathbf{P}^3 \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$$

from L . Let $\eta = \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}^1)$. The fiber $\mathbf{P}_\eta^2$ of $\Phi_{|P-L|}$ over η is the projective plane defined over $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}^1)$ and the generic fiber S_η of $\Phi_1 = \Phi_{|H-L|}$ is a smooth cubic curve in $\mathbf{P}_\eta^2$ with $O \in S_\eta(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}^1))$, corresponding to the section M , defined over $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}^1)$. Thus, $\text{MW}(\Phi_1)$ and ι_1 are automorphisms of the smooth cubic curve S_η over $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}^1)$. Therefore by Theorem

(2.2), $\text{MW}(\Phi_1)$ and ι_1 are derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}_\eta^2)$ over $\mathbf{C}(t)$. Since $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}_\eta^2)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, the result follows. The same is true for the inversion ι_2 of Φ_2 . This proves the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.14. *S admits infinitely many different embeddings $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ up to $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$. Moreover, $\text{Aut}(S)$ is derived from $\text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ in any embedding $\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Aut}(S)$ is an infinite group by Lemma (3.12), it follows that $\text{Aut}(S)^*H$ is an infinite set. Indeed otherwise, the stabilizer subgroup of H would be an infinite group, a contradiction to Proposition (2.3)(2). This proves the first assertion. Let A be a very ample line bundle on S such that $(A^2)_S = 4$. Then, by Lemmas (3.9), (3.10), there is $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$ such that $g^*A = H$. Hence the last assertion follows from Proposition (2.3)(3). $\square$

This completes the proof of Theorem (3.7). Theorem (1.7) follows from Theorem (3.7).

4. PROOF OF THEOREM (1.8).

Let ℓ be an integer such that $\ell > 5$ and S_ℓ be a *generic* K3 surface such that $\text{NS}(S_\ell) = L$ where

$$L := \mathbf{Z}h_1 + \mathbf{Z}h_2, \quad ((h_i \cdot h_j)_{S_\ell}) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4\ell \\ 4\ell & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here *generic* means that $g^*\sigma_S = \pm\sigma_S$ for all $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$. Since the lattice L is even of signature $(1, 1)$, such K3 surfaces S_ℓ exist ([Mo84, Corollary 2.9]), are projective and form dense subset, in the classical topology, of the 18-dimensional family of the L -polarized K3 surfaces. Here we note that non-generic ones are in the countable union of hyperplanes in the period domain of L -polarized K3 surfaces ([Ni80, Theorem 3.1]).

In this section, we prove the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $S := S_\ell$ be as above. Then:*

- (1) $\text{Aut}(S) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$.
- (2) *The set $\mathcal{Q}$ of embeddings $\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$, up to $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, is an infinite set.*
- (3) *For any $(\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3) \in \mathcal{Q}$ and for any $g \in \text{Aut}(S) \setminus \{id_S\}$, there is no $\tilde{g} \in \text{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$ such that $g = \tilde{g}|_S$ with respect to the embedding Φ .*

By replacing (h_1, h_2) by $(-h_1, -h_2)$ if necessary, we may and will assume that h_1 is in the positive cone $P(S)$.

Lemma 4.2. *S satisfies:*

- (1) $\text{NS}(S)$ represents neither 0 nor ± 2 , i.e., $(d^2)_S \neq 0, \pm 2$ for $d \in \text{NS}(S)$.
- (2)

$$\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S) = \left\langle \frac{h_1}{4}, \frac{h_2 - \ell h_1}{4(\ell^2 - 1)} \right\rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{4(\ell^2 - 1)}.$$

Proof. For $xh_1 + yh_2 \in \text{NS}(S)_{\mathbf{R}}$, observe that

$$((xh_1 + yh_2)^2)_S = 4x^2 + 8\ell xy + 4y^2 = 4((x + \ell y)^2 - (\ell^2 - 1)y^2).$$

The first equality shows that $(d^2)_S$ is divisible by 4 for any $d \in \text{NS}(S)$. Hence $\text{NS}(S)$ does not represent ± 2 . Since $\sqrt{\ell^2 - 1}$ is irrational by $\ell \geq 2$, it follows that $(d^2)_S \neq 0$ for any $d \in \text{NS}(S)$. This proves (1). The assertion (2) follows from an explicit computation based on

the elementary divisor theorem. Indeed, by performing elementary transformations for the matrix $((h_i \cdot h_j)_S)$ in $\mathbf{Z}$, one can transform $((h_i \cdot h_j)_S)$ to the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(4, 4(\ell^2 - 1))$ such that $4 \mid 4(\ell^2 - 1)$. This process also identifies the generators of $\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S)$ as described. $\square$

In what follows, we set:

$$v_1 := (-\ell + \sqrt{\ell^2 - 1})h_1 + h_2, \quad v_2 := h_1 + (-\ell + \sqrt{\ell^2 - 1})h_2.$$

Note that v_1 and v_2 are both irrational.

Lemma 4.3.

$$\overline{\text{Amp}}(S) = \overline{P}(S) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}v_1 + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}v_2.$$

Proof. Since h_1 is in the positive cone, the second equality follows from the second equality in the proof of Lemma (4.2)(1). Since $\text{NS}(S)$ does not represent -2 by Lemma (4.2)(1), S contains no $\mathbf{P}^1$. This implies the first equality. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *S satisfies:*

- (1) *Let $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$ such that $g^*|\text{NS}(S)$ is of finite order. Then g is of finite order.*
- (2) *$\text{Aut}(S)$ has no element of finite order other than id_S .*
- (3) *For each $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$, there are positive real numbers $\alpha(g)$, $\beta(g)$ such that $g^*v_1 = \alpha(g)v_1$ and $g^*v_2 = \beta(g)v_2$.*
- (4) *$\text{Aut}(S) = \langle g_0 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$. Then either $g^*v_1 = \alpha v_1$ and $g^*v_2 = \beta v_2$ or $g^*v_1 = \alpha v_2$ and $g^*v_2 = \beta v_1$ for some real positive numbers α and β .

The assertion (1) follows from $g^*|T(S) = \pm \text{id}$ and the global Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces.

Let us prove (2). Assume that g is of finite order. Then, in the first case, $\alpha = \beta = 1$, whence $g^*|\text{NS}(S) = \text{id}$. Then $g^*|\text{NS}(S)^*/\text{NS}(S) = \text{id}$. On the other hand, $g^*|T(S) = \pm \text{id}$, by our genericity assumption. It follows that $g^*|T(S) = \text{id}$. Hence $g = \text{id}_S$ by the global Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces. In the second case, $(g^2)^*v_1 = \alpha^2 v_1$ and $(g^2)^*v_2 = \beta^2 v_2$. Hence $g^2 = \text{id}$ as we have shown. Assume to the contrary that $g \neq \text{id}_S$. If $g^*\sigma_S = \sigma_S$, then g would have exactly 8 fixed points ([Ni80, Section 5]). Thus $\text{tr}(g^*|\text{NS}(S)) = -14$ by the Lefschetz fixed point formula. However, this is impossible, because $\text{rank NS}(S) = 2$ and $g^*|\text{NS}(S)$ is of order 2, so that the eigenvalues of $g^*|\text{NS}(S)$ is in $\{\pm 1\}$ with multiplicity at most 2. Consider the case $g^*\sigma_S = -\sigma_S$. Since g is of finite order, there is an ample class h such that $g^*h = h$. We can choose h to be primitive. Then by $\langle h \rangle^*/\langle h \rangle \simeq (\langle h \rangle^\perp)^*/\langle h \rangle^\perp$ and our case assumption, we would have $\text{id} = -\text{id}$ on $\langle h \rangle^*/\langle h \rangle$. Hence $(h^2)_S = 2$, a contradiction to Lemma (4.2)(1). This proves the assertion (2).

Let us show (3). Assume to the contrary that $g^*v_1 = \alpha v_2$ and $g^*v_2 = \beta v_1$. Then, $g \neq \text{id}_S$ and the characteristic polynomial of $g^*|\text{NS}(S)$ would be $t^2 - \alpha\beta \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$. Since $g^*|\text{NS}(S) \in \text{O}(\text{NS}(S))$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$, it would follow that $\alpha\beta = 1$. Hence $g^*|\text{NS}(S)$ would be of finite order, whence so would be g by (1), a contradiction to (2).

Let us show (4). By Sterk ([St85, Section 2]), the action $\text{Aut}(S)^*$ on $\overline{\text{Amp}}^e(S)$ has a finite rational polyhedral fundamental domain. Since $\overline{\text{Amp}}^e(S)$ is irrational, it follows that

$|\text{Aut}(S)| = \infty$. Let $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ be the multiplicative group of positive real numbers. By (3), we have a well-defined group homomorphism

$$\alpha : \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{>0}, \quad g \mapsto \alpha(g),$$

where $g^*v_1 = \alpha(g)v_1$. If $\alpha(g) = 1$, then $\beta(g) = 1$ by $g^*|\text{NS}(S) \in \text{O}(\text{NS}(S))$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then $g^*|\text{NS}(S) = \text{id}$, whence $g = \text{id}_S$ by (1) and (2). Thus α is injective. Let

$$\mathcal{S} := \{\alpha(g) \mid g \in \text{Aut}(S), \alpha(g) > 1\}.$$

Since $|\text{Aut}(S)| = \infty$, α is injective, and since $\alpha(g^{-1}) > 1$ if $\alpha(g) < 1$, it follows that $\mathcal{S}$ is not empty. Note that $\alpha(g)$ and $\beta(g)$ are zeros of quadratic equation of the form $t^2 - at + 1 = 0$ with $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. By the root formula of quadratic equation, we have $\alpha_{a_1} < \alpha_{a_2}$. Here α_{a_i} is the largest solution of $t^2 - a_i t + 1 = 0$ and a_1 and a_2 are integers such that $3 \leq a_1 < a_2$. It follows that the set $\mathcal{S}$ has the minimum, say $\alpha_0 = \alpha(g_0) > 1$. Then for all $h \in \text{Aut}(S)$, we have $h = g_0^n$ for some $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. In fact, we can take an integer n such that $1 \leq \alpha(h)\alpha(g_0)^{-n} < \alpha_0$. Then $\alpha(hg_0^{-n}) = 1$ by definition of α_0 . Since α is injective, it follows that $h = g_0^n$. Hence $\text{Aut}(S) = \langle g_0 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ as claimed. $\square$

In what follows,

$$\mathcal{H} := \{h \in \overline{\text{Amp}}(S) \cap \text{NS}(S) \mid (h^2)_S = 4\},$$

and g_0 is a generator of $\text{Aut}(S)$ as in Lemma (4.4) (4).

Lemma 4.5. *S satisfies:*

- (1) Any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ is very ample.
- (2) $|\mathcal{H}| = \infty$.

Proof. Since S contains no $\mathbf{P}^1$, the complete linear system $|h|$ is free ([SD74, 2.7]). Since there is no $d \in \text{NS}(S)$ with $(d^2)_S \in \{0, \pm 2\}$ by Lemma (4.2)(1), it follows that $\Phi_{|h|}$ is an embedding ([SD74, Theorem 5.2]). This proves (1). Note that $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$. Assume to the contrary that $|\mathcal{H}| < \infty$. Then $\{(g_0^n)^*h_1 \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ would be a finite set as well. Then there would be $m \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(g_0^m)^*h_1 = h_1$. Since h_1 is ample, g_0^m would be of finite order by Proposition (2.3)(2), a contradiction to $\langle g_0 \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ (Lemma (4.4)(4)). Hence $|\mathcal{H}| = \infty$. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ be the embedding defined by the complete linear system $|h|$. We regard $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ by this Φ . Let H be the hyperplane class of $\mathbf{P}^3$ and C be an effective curve on S such that $(C.H)_{\mathbf{P}^3} < 16$. Then, there is a hypersurface T in $\mathbf{P}^3$ such that $C = T|_S$.*

Proof. Assuming to the contrary that there would be an effective curve $C \subset S$ such that the class $c := [C]$ is linearly independent to $h = H|_S$ in $\text{NS}(S)$, we shall derive a contradiction. Then $N := \langle c, h \rangle$ would be a sublattice of $\text{NS}(S)$ of the same rank 2. In particular, the signature would be of $(1, 1)$. Then

$$|N| := \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} (c^2)_S & (c.h)_S \\ (c.h)_S & (h^2)_S \end{pmatrix} \right| = (c.h)_S^2 - (c^2)_S \cdot (h^2)_S > 0,$$

and $|N|$ would be divided by $|\text{NS}(S)| := |\det(h_i, h_j)|$. Since $c = [C]$ is an effective class and $C \not\sim \mathbf{P}^1$ by Lemma (4.2)(1), we have $(c^2)_S \geq 0$. Hence

$$|N| \leq (c.h)_S^2 < 16^2.$$

On the other hand, by $\ell > 5$,

$$|\mathrm{NS}(S)| = 16\ell^2 - 16 > 16 \cdot 17 - 16 = 16^2 ,$$

and therefore $|N|$ could not be divided by $|\mathrm{NS}(S)|$, a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ be the embedding defined by the complete linear system $|h|$. We regard $S \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ by this Φ . Then, no element of $\mathrm{Aut}(S) \setminus \{id_S\}$ is derived from $\mathrm{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$.*

Proof. Assuming to the contrary that there would be $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(S) \setminus \{id_S\}$ such that $g = \tilde{g}|_S$ for some $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, we shall derive a contradiction.

If $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, then $g^*h = h$. Since h is ample, g would be of finite order by Proposition (2.3)(2), a contradiction to Lemma (4.4)(4).

If $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Bir}(\mathbf{P}^3) \setminus \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{P}^3)$, then by Lemma (4.6), one can apply Theorem (1.9) for $\tilde{g}$. However, then $g(S) = g_*S \neq S$, a contradiction. $\square$

This completes the proof of Theorem (4.1). Theorem (1.8) follows from Theorem (4.1).

REFERENCES

- [BHPV04] Barth, W., Hulek, K., Peters, C., Van de Ven, : *Compact complex surfaces*. Second enlarged edition. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg (2004).
- [Ch78] Chang, H. C., : *On plane algebraic curves*, Chinese J. Math. **6** (1978) 185–189.
- [Do11] Dolgachev, I., : *Quartic surfaces and Cremona transformations*, a lecture in the workshop on Arithmetic and Geometry of K3 surfaces and Calabi-Yau threefolds held at the University of Toronto and the Fields Institute (August 16-25, 2011).
- [Ke97] Keum, J.H., : *Automorphisms of Jacobian Kummer surfaces*, Compositio Math. **107** (1997) 269–288.
- [Ko98] Kondo, S., : *The automorphism group of a generic Jacobian Kummer surface*, J. Algebraic Geom. **7** (1998) 589–609.
- [MM63] Matsumura, H., Monsky, P., : *On the automorphisms of hypersurfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **3** 1963/1964 347–361.
- [Mo84] Morrison, D., : *On K3 surfaces with large Picard number*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984) 105–121.
- [Ni79] Nikulin, V. V., : *Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43** (1979) 111–177.
- [Ni80] Nikulin, V. V., : *Finite groups of automorphisms of Kählerian surfaces of type K3*, Moscow Math. Soc. **38** (1980) 71–137.
- [Og12] Oguiso, K., : *Quartic K3 surfaces and Cremona transformations*, arXiv:1110.4426, to appear in the proceedings of the workshop on Arithmetic and Geometry of K3 surfaces and Calabi-Yau threefolds.
- [Pr12] Prokhorov, Y., : *Simple finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 3*, arXiv:0908.0675, to appear in J. Algebraic Geometry.
- [SD74] Saint-Donat, B., : *Projective models of K3 surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974) 602–639.
- [St85] Sterk, H., : *Finiteness results for algebraic K3 surfaces*, Math. Z. **189** (1985) 507–513.
- [Ta98] Takahashi, N., : *An application of Noether-Fano inequalities*, Math. Zeit. **228** (1998) 1–9.

KEIJI OGUISO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA 560-0043 OSAKA, JAPAN AND KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, HOEGIRO 87, SEOUL, 130-722, KOREA

E-mail address: oguiso@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp